Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/495,556	KUHRTS, ERIC H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gary Oakeson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: of record		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
``		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporating claims 56 and 57 into all independent claims; and 2) cancel all product claim. Applicant's attorney proposed 56 into all generic claims since soy oil is vegetable oil, and the use of the phrase "consist of" in all product claims. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment..